



Highlights from:

Christine A. Capone, MD, MPH, Scott R. Ceresnak, MD, Lynn Nappo, RN, Gregory J. Gates, PhD, Clyde B. Schechter, MD, and Robert H. Pass, MD

Capone et al. PACE., 38:1405-1411, Dec 2015 DOI: 10.1111/pace.12742

Three-Catheter Technique for Ablation of Left-Sided Accessory Pathways in Wolff-Parkinson-White is Less Expensive and Equally Successful When Compared to a Five-Catheter Technique

INTRODUCTION

- ▶ This study compared the safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation of supraventricular tachycardia using a three-catheter versus five-catheter approach in pediatric patients with Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) Syndrome and concealed accessory pathways (AP).

METHODS

- ▶ A cohort of 28 patients who had undergone three-catheter mapping and ablation was retrospectively compared against 28 patients who underwent standard five-catheter ablation.
- ▶ All ablations were performed via the transseptal approach.
- ▶ Patient demographics, pathway characteristics, time, number of RF applications until loss of AP conduction, overall procedural time, fluoroscopy time, complications, and catheter costs were evaluated for each group.
- ▶ A microcost analysis was performed to compare the overall resource requirements for the two procedure techniques based on CPT® fees, labor costs, and supply costs.

RESULTS

Ablation procedure

- ▶ Catheter ablation was successful in 100% of patients in the three-catheter group and 96% of patients in the standard five-catheter group.
- ▶ There was no significant difference in the ablation success rate or likeliness of ablation on first RF application between the two groups. No complications were observed.
- ▶ The three-catheter procedure was associated with 15 min of time saved (not statistically significant), which was used by the authors in the subsequent cost analysis.
- ▶ There was no difference in fluoroscopy time, time to loss of AP conduction, or number of RF applications between the two groups.

Cost analysis

- ▶ Microcost analysis indicated a total average savings per case of \$2,465 using the three-catheter approach. This included:
 - \$680 device cost savings using fewer number of catheters
 - \$1,785 by saving 15 min of procedure time (i.e. \$105/min ablation procedure fees + \$14/min personnel fees)

Cost savings per minute of catheter ablation time:

\$105/min ablation procedure fees
+ \$14/min personnel fees

\$119/min total cost savings

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ The three-catheter technique for catheter ablation of left-sided WPW is equally safe and effective as the standard five-catheter approach, and offers total cost savings of approximately \$2,465/case.

All trademarks are property of their respective owners. Patents Pending and/or issued. CAUTION: The law restricts these devices to sale by or on the order of a physician. Indications, Contraindications, Warnings, and Instructions For Use can be found in the product labelling supplied with each device or at www.baylismedical.com.

Products shown for INFORMATION purposes only and may not be approved or for sale in certain countries.
This material not intended for use in France.



**Boston
Scientific**
Advancing science for life™

© 2023 Boston Scientific Corporation
or its affiliates. All rights reserved.
EP-1582907-AA